### STATE OF VERMONT

#### HUMAN SERVICES BOARD

In re	)	Fair	Hearing	No.	S-12/09-677
	)				
Appeal of	)				

## INTRODUCTION

The petitioner appeals the decision by the Department for Children and Families, Economic Services Division finding her liable for an overpayment of Food Stamps. The issue is whether the overpayment must be repaid if it resulted from an administrative error on the part of the Department.

# DISCUSSION

The essential facts are not in dispute. The petitioner timely and accurately reported her income to the Department during the period February 2008 through February 2009. The Department admits that due to a "computer error" it failed to take this income into consideration in its determination of the petitioner's eligibility for Food Stamps during this period. The petitioner does not dispute that as a result of this error she received \$3,035 more in Food Stamps during this time than she would have if the Department had correctly considered her income.

The Department notified the petitioner of the overpayment on May 13, 2009. Sometime thereafter the Department sent the petitioner a notice that it was "compromising" \$1,713 of this amount due to Department error, but that the petitioner was liable to repay the remaining amount of \$1,321.20.

## ORDER

The Department's decision is affirmed.

## REASONS

Under the Food Stamp (now "3 Squares") regulations, the
Department is required to "establish a claim against any
household that has received more Food Stamp benefits than it
is entitled to receive." W.A.M. § 273.18(a). The
regulations also provide: "A claim shall be handled as an
administrative error claim if the over issuance was caused by
State agency action or failure to take action . . ." W.A.M.
§ 273.18(a)(2). If the household is receiving Food Stamps,
the required repayment is the greater of ten percent of the
household's monthly allotment or \$10 per month when the claim
is based on administrative error, but twenty percent or \$10
when caused by household error. W.A.M. § 273.18(q)(4). In

addition, procedures exist for the Department to partially "compromise" certain administrative error claims.

Inasmuch as the Department's decision in this matter is in accord with the pertinent regulations, and in that it appears that the Department has given the petitioner the most advantageous repayment amounts and terms allowable under those regulations, the Board is bound to affirm. 3 V.S.A. § 3091(d), Fair Hearing Rule No. 1000.4(D).

# # #